## Design Criteria

## Design Methodology

The design of a high rise lateral force resisting system and gravity system poses itself as a daunting and cumbersome task. Computer modeling and analysis with the aid of ETABS and RAM was utilized in order to expedite the design process. Spot and hand checks were performed to verify computer analysis, however the size and scope of the project poses too many factors and considerations. Some errors may have gone unnoticed. Conservative assumptions were utilized as to not jeopardize the completion of this year long study and to offset any possible errors or omissions. These assumptions will be clearly stated as they are relevant.

## Design Goals

The main goal of a new structural system for the Trump Taj Mahal Hotel is to replace the current concrete shear wall core with a core of braced frames. The current gravity floor system design as a filigree flat plate will be replaced with a precast concrete plank floor and steel frame. This study is being performed in order to understand why a concrete system was chosen over a steel system, considering the much faster erection time that a steel system offers. Numerous other design goals were established prior to the design of the braced frame core and steel frame. These goals are important to this study and have been strictly adhered to. The goals are as follows:

- Design a core of braced frames to effectively handle the design wind and earthquake forces imposed on the structure.
- The core of braced frames shall be provided in the exact location of the current shear wall core. A redesign of the layout of the core is permitted, but the areas of all spaces shall not deviate by more than $20 \%$ of the current. The number of elevators may not change.
- The tower's overall floor area must not change.
- The drift of the braced frames under the most severe lateral loading must not exceed $\mathrm{H} / 400$.
- Design a steel frame that utilizes a precast concrete plank floor system to effectively handle the gravity design loads.
- Additional columns and transfer girders shall only be provided if no affects are imposed on the layout of the guest room and meeting spaces.
- All structural systems must adhere to model code IBC 2003, ASCE 7-05, and AISC Manual of Steel Construction $13^{\text {th }}$ Edition LRFD.
- Any floor to floor height increase shall be kept to a minimum and will meet the minimum demands of the mechanical and architectural systems of the tower. The use of soffits may be required to conceal the steel structure.
- Effectively reduce the erection time of the structure in order to generate revenue faster and compare to the added cost of the structure, if applicable.


## Design Loads - Gravity

The self weight of the concrete planks with a 2 inch topping was taken as 93 psf, as specified by Nitterhouse, Inc.

Superimposed dead loads for the tower are taken directly from the load maps provided by the structural engineer of record. Snow loads were calculated using ASCE 7-05. Live loads are taken directly from Table 4-1 of ASCE 7-05. A summary is provided in the following table.

| Level | Superimposed Dead Load | Live Load | Live Load Reduction Comments (ASCE 7-05) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Partitions: 15psf | 100psf | Not Applicable |
| 2 | Non-Core  <br> Suspended Ceiling: 10 psf <br> Suspended MEP: 10 psf <br> Floor Finishes: 10 psf <br> Core  <br> Suspended Ceiling: 10 psf <br> Suspended MEP: 10 psf <br> Floor Finishes: 10 psf | Non - Core: 150 psf <br> Core: 100 psf | 4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way Slabs |
| 3 | Non-Core  <br> Suspended Ceiling: 5 psf <br> Suspended MEP: 10 psf <br> Floor Finishes: 5 psf <br> Topping Slab: 10 psf <br> Core  <br> Suspended Ceiling: 5 psf <br> Suspended MEP: 10 psf <br> Floor Finishes: 5 psf <br> Topping Slab: 10 psf | Non-Core: 150 psf <br> Core: 100 psf | 4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way Slabs |
| 4 | Non-Core \& Core  <br> Partitions: 15 psf <br> Suspended MEP: 15 psf | 40psf | 4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way Slabs |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { Thru } 38 \end{aligned}$ | Non-Core \& Core Partitions: $\quad 15 \mathrm{psf}$ | 40psf | 4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way Slabs |
| 39 | Non-Core  <br> Partitions: 15 psf <br> Floor Finishes: 10 psf <br> Core  <br> Partitions: 15 psf | 40psf | 4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way Slabs |
| 40 | Non-Roof  <br> Suspended MEP 30 psf <br> Roof Snow Load 11.2 psf | MEP: 150 psf <br> Roof: 20 psf | 4.8.5 Limitations on One-Way Slabs <br> 4.9.1 Flat, Pitched and Curved Roofs |
| 41 | Non-Roof  <br> Suspended MEP 30 psf <br> Roof Snow Load 11.2 psf | 20psf | 4.9.1 Flat, Pitched and Curved Roofs |

Table 3: Superimposed Dead and Live Loads
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## Design Loads - Lateral

## Wind Loads

Wind loads for the Trump Taj Mahal were computed using a wind tunnel test performed by DFA based on a 50 year wind speed. The wind tunnel test loads are compared to the tabulated ASCE 7-05 MWFRS loads, as shown in Figure 17. Detailed calculations of the wind loads can be found in Appendix A. For the purposes of this study, only the wind tunnel test loads will be considered because the concrete shear wall core was designed using these loads (See Note 1 following Figure 17). The wind tunnel loads are permitted to be used despite being smaller overall compared to the wind loads calculated per ASCE 7-05. The wind tunnel loads consider 20 different load cases that include a force in both directions with an applied torsion. The wind tunnel load cases and corresponding loads for each case can be found in Appendix A.

| Level | Height | Wind Tunnel Results |  |  |  |  | ASCE 7-05 Wind Loads |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { East/West } \\ \text { (Kips) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | North/South (Kips) | Torsion <br> (in-kips) | Ovt Mom <br> E/W (kip-ft) | Ovt Mom N/S (kip-ft) | North/South (kips) | $\begin{gathered} \text { East/West } \\ \text { (kips) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Ovt Mom N/S (kip-ft) | Ovt Mom <br> E/W (kip-ft) |
| Roof | 460 | 139 | 191.4 | 20520 | 63940 | 88044 | 132.58 | 137.57 | 2121.26 | 2201.07 |
| 40 | 437.583 | 169.3 | 233.3 | 31920 | 138022.802 | 190132.114 | 138.86 | 144.08 | 5731.50 | 5947.14 |
| 39 | 422.583 | 103.2 | 142.1 | 19920 | 181633.368 | 250181.158 | 152.24 | 157.97 | 14561.39 | 15109.25 |
| 38 | 412.167 | 96.3 | 132.7 | 18960 | 221325.05 | 304875.719 | 76.11 | 78.98 | 19768.92 | 20512.70 |
| 37 | 401.75 | 100.2 | 138 | 20040 | 261580.4 | 360317.219 | 77.30 | 80.21 | 25863.04 | 26836.11 |
| 36 | 391.333 | 97.6 | 134.5 | 19560 | 299774.5 | 412951.508 | 78.38 | 81.33 | 32858.39 | 34094.65 |
| 35 | 380.917 | 95.1 | 131 | 19080 | 335999.707 | 462851.635 | 79.35 | 82.34 | 40767.20 | 42301.02 |
| 34 | 370.5 | 92.5 | 127.5 | 18480 | 370270.957 | 510090.385 | 80.25 | 83.27 | 49601.52 | 51467.72 |
| 33 | 360.083 | 90 | 124 | 18000 | 402678.427 | 554740.677 | 81.09 | 84.14 | 59372.84 | 61606.67 |
| 32 | 349.667 | 87.4 | 120.5 | 17520 | 433239.323 | 596875.55 | 81.87 | 84.95 | 70090.37 | 72727.43 |
| 31 | 339.25 | 84.9 | 116.9 | 17040 | 462041.648 | 636533.875 | 82.60 | 85.70 | 81764.02 | 84840.29 |
| 30 | 328.833 | 82.3 | 113.4 | 16440 | 489104.604 | 673823.537 | 83.29 | 86.42 | 94403.44 | 97955.25 |
| 29 | 318.417 | 79.9 | 110.1 | 15960 | 514546.122 | 708881.249 | 83.94 | 87.10 | 108015.89 | 112079.85 |
| 28 | 308 | 77.4 | 106.6 | 15480 | 538385.322 | 741714.049 | 84.56 | 87.74 | 122609.92 | 127222.97 |
| 27 | 297.583 | 74.8 | 103.1 | 15000 | 560644.531 | 772394.856 | 85.16 | 88.36 | 138194.02 | 143393.40 |
| 26 | 287.167 | 72.3 | 99.6 | 14520 | 581406.705 | 800996.69 | 85.72 | 88.95 | 154774.01 | 160597.19 |
| 25 | 276.75 | 69.7 | 96 | 13920 | 600696.18 | 827564.69 | 86.26 | 89.51 | 172357.52 | 178842.26 |
| 24 | 266.333 | 65.8 | 90.6 | 13440 | 618220.891 | 851694.459 | 86.79 | 90.06 | 190952.25 | 198136.59 |
| 23 | 255.917 | 63.3 | 87.2 | 12960 | 634420.437 | 874010.422 | 87.29 | 90.57 | 210562.87 | 218485.04 |
| 22 | 245.5 | 60.8 | 83.7 | 12360 | 649346.837 | 894558.772 | 87.77 | 91.07 | 231196.38 | 239894.86 |
| 21 | 235.083 | 58.3 | 80.3 | 11880 | 663052.176 | 913435.937 | 88.24 | 91.56 | 252859.92 | 262373.46 |
| 20 | 224.667 | 55.8 | 76.9 | 11400 | 675588.595 | 930712.829 | 88.69 | 92.03 | 275557.19 | 285924.69 |
| 19 | 214.25 | 53.3 | 73.4 | 10920 | 687008.12 | 946438.779 | 89.13 | 92.48 | 299294.75 | 310555.35 |
| 18 | 203.833 | 50.9 | 70.1 | 10440 | 697383.219 | 960727.472 | 89.56 | 92.93 | 324079.31 | 336272.39 |
| 17 | 193.417 | 48.4 | 66.7 | 9840 | 706744.602 | 973628.386 | 89.96 | 93.35 | 349913.76 | 363078.83 |
| 16 | 183 | 45.9 | 63.3 | 9360 | 715144.302 | 985212.286 | 90.36 | 93.76 | 376804.30 | 390981.10 |
| 15 | 172.583 | 43.4 | 59.8 | 8880 | 722634.404 | 995532.75 | 90.76 | 94.17 | 404757.36 | 419985.85 |
| 14 | 162.167 | 40.9 | 56.4 | 8400 | 729267.035 | 1004678.97 | 91.13 | 94.56 | 433775.09 | 450095.34 |
| 13 | 151.75 | 38.4 | 53 | 7800 | 735094.235 | 1012721.72 | 91.50 | 94.94 | 463863.45 | 481315.74 |
| 12 | 141.333 | 35.9 | 49.5 | 7320 | 740168.089 | 1019717.7 | 91.86 | 95.32 | 495028.64 | 513653.48 |
| 11 | 130.917 | 33.4 | 46.1 | 6840 | 744540.717 | 1025752.98 | 92.21 | 95.68 | 527272.14 | 547110.10 |
| 10 | 120.5 | 31 | 42.6 | 6360 | 748276.217 | 1030886.28 | 92.56 | 96.04 | 560599.74 | 581691.61 |
| 9 | 110.083 | 28.5 | 39.2 | 5760 | 751413.583 | 1035201.53 | 92.90 | 96.39 | 595017.46 | 617404.25 |
| 8 | 99.667 | 26 | 35.8 | 5280 | 754004.925 | 1038769.61 | 93.22 | 96.73 | 630526.19 | 654248.95 |
| 7 | 89.25 | 23.6 | 32.5 | 4800 | 756111.225 | 1041670.23 | 93.54 | 97.06 | 667131.56 | 692231.56 |
| 6 | 78.833 | 21.1 | 29.1 | 4320 | 757774.601 | 1043964.27 | 93.86 | 97.39 | 704839.51 | 731358.23 |
| 5 | 68.417 | 18.6 | 25.6 | 3840 | 759047.157 | 1045715.75 | 94.16 | 97.71 | 743650.33 | 771629.26 |
| 4 | 58 | 29.8 | 41.1 | 4680 | 760775.557 | 1048099.55 | 115.25 | 119.58 | 792351.32 | 822162.56 |
| 3 | 26 | 9.2 | 12.6 | 1800 | 761014.757 | 1048427.15 | 140.26 | 145.54 | 853727.65 | 885848.09 |
| 2 | 16 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 1200 | 761117.157 | 1048567.95 | 84.58 | 87.76 | 892632.82 | 926217.02 |
|  |  | 2500.6 | 3445 |  | 761117.157 | 1048567.95 | 3725.14 | 3865.29 | 892632.82 | 926217.02 |

Figure 17: Wind Loads per DFA Wind Tunnel Test and ASCE 7-05 MWFRS Method 2 Note 1: Height increase will alter wind tunnel results, however this will be neglected for the purpose of this study.

Final Report - Reichwein
Advisor: Dr. Andres Lepage

The Pennsylvania State University Department of Architectural Engineering

## Seismic Loads

Seismic loads for the Trump Taj Mahal were calculated using ASCE 7-05, Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure. The details of the calculations, parameters, and seismic load cases can be found in Appendix A of this report. The base shear for both directions was calculated to be approximately 720kips. Seismic forces can be seen below in Figure 18.

| Seismic Forces ASCE 7-05 Lateral Force Procedure |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Height | Shear Per Floor <br> (kips) | Overturning <br> Moment |
| Roof | 460 | 40.93 | 18829.93 |
| 40 | 437.583 | 55.56 | 43143.44 |
| 39 | 422.583 | 43.18 | 61391.68 |
| 38 | 412.167 | 41.08 | 78323.55 |
| 37 | 401.75 | 39.03 | 94003.79 |
| 36 | 391.333 | 37.03 | 108495.66 |
| 35 | 380.917 | 35.09 | 121860.89 |
| 34 | 370.5 | 33.19 | 134159.32 |
| 33 | 360.083 | 31.35 | 145449.29 |
| 32 | 349.667 | 29.57 | 155787.59 |
| 31 | 339.25 | 27.83 | 165229.17 |
| 30 | 328.833 | 26.15 | 173827.44 |
| 29 | 318.417 | 24.52 | 181634.26 |
| 28 | 308 | 22.94 | 188699.67 |
| 27 | 297.583 | 21.41 | 195072.16 |
| 26 | 287.167 | 19.94 | 200798.65 |
| 25 | 276.75 | 18.52 | 205924.29 |
| 24 | 266.333 | 17.15 | 210492.65 |
| 23 | 255.917 | 15.84 | 214545.70 |
| 22 | 245.5 | 14.57 | 218123.70 |
| 21 | 235.083 | 13.36 | 221265.28 |
| 20 | 224.667 | 12.21 | 224007.51 |
| 19 | 214.25 | 11.10 | 226385.70 |
| 18 | 203.833 | 10.05 | 228433.60 |
| 17 | 193.417 | 9.05 | 230183.32 |
| 16 | 183 | 8.10 | 231665.29 |
| 15 | 172.583 | 7.20 | 232908.31 |
| 14 | 162.167 | 6.36 | 233939.58 |
| 13 | 151.75 | 5.57 | 234784.61 |
| 12 | 141.333 | 4.83 | 235467.29 |
| 11 | 130.917 | 4.14 | 236009.88 |
| 10 | 120.5 | 3.51 | 236432.99 |
| 9 | 110.083 | 2.93 | 236755.57 |
| 8 | 99.667 | 2.40 | 236994.98 |
| 7 | 89.25 | 1.93 | 237166.89 |
| 6 | 78.833 | 1.50 | 237285.36 |
| 5 | 68.417 | 1.13 | 237362.81 |
| 4 | 58 | 0.93 | 237416.73 |
| 3 | 26 | 0.22 | 237422.56 |
| 2 | 16 | 0.077823311 | 237423.80 |
|  |  | 718.5 | 237423.80 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 2 |  |

Figure 18: Seismic Loads per ASCE 7-05 Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure
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## Comparison

The following graph, Figure 19, compares the lateral loads of the Trump Taj Mahal Hotel. It can be seen that the wind tunnel loads in the north/south direction have the largest wind forces overall. The wind loads calculated according to ASCE-7-07 MWFRS Method 2 appear to be more uniform and yield higher base shears compared to the wind tunnel loads. Seismic forces appear to be well below that of the wind forces and will probably not govern design.


Figure 19: Lateral Load Comparison

